EXHIBIT 24

In The Matter Of:

IN RE SEPTEMBER 11 LITIGATION

ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO February 11, 2008

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/ CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL TC REPORTING in affliation with Merrill 25 West 45TH Street - Suite 900 New York, NY 10036

PH: 516-795-7444 / FAX: 212-692-9171

CAMMAROTO, ROBERT J. - Vol. 1

		Page 124
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
11:31:43	2	appendices 1, 2, 3, 7A and 15 of the ACSSP.
11:31:54	3	I would like to show you and have
11:31:57	4	marked as an exhibit I guess it is part of
11:32:00	5	Exhibit 2G. I would like to show you those
11:32:03	6	portions of Exhibit 2D which contain Appendix
11:32:12	7	XV. These are marked, apart from the cover
11:32:15	8	page, which is 60034, this is pages 60256
11:32:23	9	through 60264 Appendix XV is entitled Aviation
11:32:37	10	Security Contingency Plan AVSEC Plan.
11:32:46	11	Mr. Cammaroto, are you familiar
11:32:47	12	with the aviation security contingency plan as
11:32:52	13	described in Appendix XV to the ACSSP?
11:32:54	14	A. Yes, sir, I am.
11:32:55	15	Q. Are you familiar with the AVSEC
11:32:56	16	alert levels as they were used on and prior to
11:33:00	17	9/11, 2001?
11:33:02	18	A. Yes, sir.
11:33:05	19	Q. What AVSEC alert level was in
11:33:07	20	effect for domestic aviation security on the
11:33:10	21	morning of 9/11?
11:33:10	22	A. I believe that was AVSEC Level III,
11:33:13	23	sir.
11:33:13	24	Q. How many AVSEC alert levels were
11:33:15	25	there?

·		Page 138
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
11:47:18	2	ten minutes.
11:47:18	3	MS. VARGAS: Would you like to
11:47:19	4	take another break?
11:47:20	5	MR. PODESTA: If you want to take
11:47:21	6	a ten-minute break.
11:47:22	7	MS. VARGAS: Happy to do so.
11:47:28	8	11:57 we will be back here.
11:47:29	9	VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record
11:47:31	10	11:46.
11:51:15	11	(Recess taken.)
12:00:21	12	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
12:00:23	13	record at 12 p.m. This is the beginning of tape
12:00:29	14	number 3.
12:00:30	15	EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MR. PODESTA:
12:00:30	16	Q. Mr. Cammaroto, I would now like to
12:00:33	17	discuss for the next few minutes the screening
12:00:34	18	of persons. I'd like to direct you first to
12:00:38	19	section 2F that begins on page 27 of the ACSSP
12:00:45	20	which is Exhibit 2D.
12:00:50	21	My first question what is the
12:00:54	22	function of section 2F of the ACSSP?
12:00:57	23	A. Section 2 lays out the screening
12:01:02	24	responsibilities and gives a general frame in
12:01:07	25	which the air carrier can establish its
		i

		Page 139
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
12:01:11	2	screening functions at the checkpoints, they
12:01:14	3	were largely conducted by, at that time, 9/11,
12:01:18	4	largely conducted by contract companies as
12:01:21	5	opposed to direct air carrier employees.
12:01:23	6	Q. Is it fair to describe section 2F
12:01:28	7	of the ACSSP as setting forth the FAA's basic
12:01:32	8	requirements for screening the persons of
12:01:35	9	individuals who wish to enter the sterile area?
12:01:38	10	A. Yes, sir.
12:01:42	11	Q. Would you just for the benefit of
12:01:43	12	the jury just tell us what the sterile area is?
12:01:45	13	A. The sterile area can be viewed as
12:01:48	14	the portion of the airport at which the
12:01:52	15	passenger actually gains access to the aircraft
12:01:55	16	and is beyond the security checkpoint.
12:02:01	17	Q. Now I would like to turn your
12:02:03	18	attention to Appendix III to the ACSSP.
12:02:06	19	A. Yes, sir.
12:02:10	20	Q. Which in my copy is at 60208. What
12:02:18	21	is the function of the Appendix III of the
12:02:21	22	ACSSP?
12:02:22	23	A. That is again a guideline, a
12:02:28	24	framework in which the air carrier and/or its
12:02:34	25	contractor can conduct screening of persons,

		Page 140
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
12:02:37	2	being the individual person, him or herself and
12:02:40	3	their hand carried items that would be carried
12:02:43	4	through the checkpoint as opposed checked
12:02:46	5	baggage.
12:02:46	6	Q. Would it be fair to describe
12:02:49	7	Appendix III as a supplement to section 2F of
12:02:53	8	the ACSSP?
12:02:59	9	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
12:03:01	10	form.
12:03:01	11	A. I don't know I would characterize
12:03:03	12	it as a supplement to it. I would certainly
12:03:06	13	characterize it as something that expanded on
12:03:12	14	what the intent of section 2 was.
12:03:16	15	Q. Would it be fair to describe part 2
12:03:18	16	of Appendix III as providing air carriers with
12:03:23	17	more detailed FAA guidelines to follow to
12:03:27	18	screening person who wished to enter the sterile
12:03:29	19	area?
12:03:29	20	A. Yes.
12:03:32	21	Q. I would like to refer you to the
12:03:36	22	introductory paragraph of Appendix III, that
12:03:39	23	appears at the top of AAL 060208. Was the
12:03:47	24	language in that paragraph approved by the FAA?
12:04:05	25	A. Yes, sir.

		Page 142
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
12:05:13	2	providing for courteous and efficient treatment
12:05:16	3	of passengers?
12:05:18	4	A. Yes, sir.
12:05:20	5	Q. In devising its checkpoint
12:05:22	6	screening procedures, did the FAA take into
12:05:26	7	account the desirability of providing uniform
12:05:29	8	procedures?
12:05:33	9	A. Yes, sir, although I would just put
12:05:34	10	a very fine point on your question. And that is
12:05:40	11	certainly these were guidelines, the actual
12:05:42	12	specific procedures being applied were really
12:05:46	13	developed and posed by whatever entity was
12:05:52	14	actually doing the actual physical screening.
12:05:54	15	It was not the air carrier, it was most often a
12:05:58	16	contract company.
12:05:59	17	Q. Why did the FAA consider uniform
12:06:01	18	procedures for checkpoint screening to be
12:06:03	19	desirable?
12:06:06	20	MR. PEPE: Objection,
12:06:07	21	mischaracterizing testimony.
12:06:08	22	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
12:06:09	23	form.
12:06:09	24	A. There were several levels of
12:06:10	25	desirability for that uniformity. One of which
		l l

		Page 174
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
12:39:45	2	A. It was an approved method at that
12:39:48	3	time and, again, it was left as a decision of
12:39:51	4	the security company and carriers to whether or
12:39:53	5	not they chose to use that one or not.
12:39:55	6	Q. Were there any, as of 9/11, were
12:39:57	7	there any other FAA approved methods for
12:40:00	8	screening the carry-on bags, carry-on items of
12:40:05	9	passengers?
12:40:06	10	A. They could be screened, yes, the
12:40:07	11	answer is yes. They could be screened if they
12:40:11	12	did a physical inspection.
12:40:12	13	Q. Physical inspection of every
12:40:15	14	carry-on bag?
12:40:15	15	A. Yes, sir.
12:40:16	16	Q. Just make us clear, are you aware
12:40:17	17	of any major airlines that as of 9/11 were using
12:40:23	18	a physical inspection of all carry-on bags as
12:40:30	19	the initial screening method for carry-on items
12:40:33	20	at any category X airport?
12:40:36	21	MR. TOMASIK: Objection.
12:40:37	22	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection.
12:40:38	23	A. I am not aware of any using that at
12:40:40	24	the Xs.
12:40:49	25	Q. I would like to refer you to

:		Page 173
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
12:38:52	2	X airports in the United States at which x-ray
12:38:56	3	units were not in use as the primary vehicle for
12:38:59	4	screening passengers' carry-on items?
12:39:02	5	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
12:39:03	6	A. None that I recall.
12:39:07	7	Q. And as of 9/11, did the FAA approve
12:39:11	8	x-ray machines as the primary vehicle for
12:39:14	9	screening passengers carry-on items?
12:39:16	10	MR. PEPE: Objection.
12:39:18	11	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection.
12:39:19	12	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
12:39:19	13	form. Are you asking if the FAA approved it as
12:39:21	14	a primary vehicle? What does primary mean?
12:39:25	15	MR. PODESTA: I mean the initial,
12:39:27	16	I mean the first screening measure that is
12:39:29	17	applied to your carry-on bags at the screening
12:39:32	18	checkpoint.
12:39:32	19	MS. VARGAS: Just to clarify are
12:39:33	20	you asking if the FAA had a preference for
12:39:36	21	x-rays or if it was x-rays were one of the
12:39:39	22	initial methods that were approved by the FAA?
12:39:42	23	MR. PODESTA: The second.
12:39:43	24	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection to the
12:39:44	25	form. Go ahead.
		i

		Page 209
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:26:34	2	A. Yes, sir.
14:26:36	3	Q. And were they truthful and accurate
14:26:37	4	to the best of your ability?
14:26:39	5	A. Yes, sir.
14:26:43	6	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:26:44	7	Q. I am just trying to see if you
14:26:50	8	could help me with understanding the meaning of
14:26:55	9	the knives paragraph in Appendix I. As of 9/11,
14:27:00	10	under the ACSSP and FAA's deadly or dangerous
14:27:08	11	weapons guidelines as set forth in Appendix I
14:27:11	12	were knives with played length of less than four
14:27:14	13	inches allowed into the sterile area unless they
14:27:17	14	came within one of the two following exceptions:
14:27:21	15	First, they were a type of knife that illegal
14:27:26	16	under local law, or two, the screener exercised
14:27:30	17	his or her common sense to conclude that a
14:27:34	18	particular knife under four inches should be
14:27:37	19	treated as a deadly or dangerous weapon?
14:27:39	20	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:27:40	21	MS. HESSION: Objection, objection
14:27:41	22	to the form.
14:27:41	23	A. In those two circumstances they
14:27:43	24	would be permitted.
14:27:46	25	Q. But apart from those two exceptions

		Page 210
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:27:48	2	did FAA regulations as in effect on 9/11 permit
14:27:51	3	knives with a blade length of less than four
14:27:54	4	inches to enter the sterile area?
14:27:58	5	MR. ELSNER: Objection.
14:28:00	6	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:28:00	7	A. Of less than four inches, yes.
14:28:02	8	Q. Under the FAA regulations in effect
14:28:04	9	on 9/11, did the FAA maintain any list or
14:28:06	10	compilation of local laws pertaining to the
14:28:10	11	carriage of knives by members of the general
14:28:12	12	public?
14:28:14	13	A. Not that I'm aware of, sir.
14:28:17	14	Q. Are you aware of any Massachusetts,
14:28:20	15	state or local law in force on 9/11 that
14:28:24	16	prohibited members of the general public from
14:28:27	17	possessing or carrying Swiss Army knives?
14:28:32	18	MR. WARDEN: Objection.
14:28:32	19	A. I was not aware of that law.
14:28:35	20	Q. Leatherman multitools?
14:28:37	21	MR. PEPE: Objection.
14:28:37	22	A. I was not aware of that, sir.
14:28:39	23	Q. Pocket utility knives?
14:28:42	24	MR. ELSNER: Objection.
14:28:44	25	Q. You have to answer audibly. You

		Page 303
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
16:35:54	2	Q. Is it correct, Mr. Cammaroto, that
16:35:56	3	the FAA regulations in fact required the
16:36:02	4	airlines to submit a proposed security program
16:36:07	5	to the TSA sorry, to the FAA?
16:36:09	6	A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
16:36:11	7	Q. So when you said that the ACSSP was
16:36:14	8	first approved in January of 1976, that came
16:36:18	9	after the airlines themselves submitted a
16:36:23	10	proposed security program to the FAA; didn't it?
16:36:26	11	A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
16:37:05	12	Q. Mr. Cammaroto, I had put in front
16:37:07	13	of you a copy of a document marked SSI
16:37:10	14	Exhibit 21 which has Bates numbers TSA 11547.
16:37:17	15	Do you have that in front of you?
16:37:18	16	A. I do, sir.
16:37:19	17	Q. Would you agree this is an FAA
16:37:22	18	memorandum dated July 30, 1977 subject of which
16:37:27	19	is the ATA proposed model air carrier security
16:37:32	20	program?
16:37:32	21	A. Yes, my copy is dated July 30,
16:37:34	22	1975, sir.
16:37:35	23	Q. July 30, 1975. It is, the subject
16:37:38	24	of it is the ATA proposed model air carrier
16:37:42	25	security program; correct?

		Page 314
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
16:47:57	2	A. Yes, sir.
16:47:57	3	Q. I think you testified earlier the
16:48:23	4	ACSSP became the security program for the
16:48:28	5	majority of the airlines; correct?
16:48:31	6	MR. PODESTA: We are having
16:48:32	7	trouble hearing you over here.
16:48:33	8	MR. WARDEN: Sorry.
16:48:34	9	Q. Did the ACSSP become the standard
16:48:36	10	security program for the majority of the
16:48:38	11	airlines?
16:48:40	12	A. Yes, sir.
16:48:41	13	Q. Including United and American?
16:48:44	14	A. Yes, sir.
16:48:45	15	Q. But I think you indicated that
16:48:47	16	airlines could seek a modification if they
16:48:51	17	chose; correct?
16:48:51	18	A. That's correct, sir.
16:48:52	19	Q. So it was within the purview of the
16:48:57	20	FAA to allow modifications if an airline
16:49:01	21	requested it, to the ACSSP?
16:49:04	22	A. Yes, sir.
16:49:07	23	Q. Now, we referred to the guidelines
16:49:11	24	in Appendices I, II and III in Mr. Podesta's
16:49:20	25	earlier questions and your answers. I would
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

		Page 316
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
16:51:06	2	Q. The statement that he asked you
16:51:09	3	about was that the guidelines were furnished to
16:51:12	4	assist in making a reasonable determination of
16:51:15	5	what property in the possession of a person
16:51:18	б .	should be considered a deadly or dangerous
16:51:21	7	weapon. Do you see that?
16:51:22	8	A. I do, sir.
16:51:25	9	Q. The next statement is the one I'd
16:51:28	10	like to focus on, the next statement says that
16:51:31	11	"these are only guidelines." Did I read that
1 6:51:34	12	right?
16:51:35	13	A. Yes, sir, you did.
16:51:36	14	Q. What does that mean?
16:51:37	15	A. The intent of that is to remind the
16:51:42	16	air carriers that, as I testified earlier, that
16:51:45	17	the Appendix I can't possibly anticipate every
16:51:49	18	iteration of every deadly or dangerous weapon.
16:51:52	19	Certainly lists the ones I think reasonably most
16:51:57	20	encountered at the checkpoints, but that as you
16:52:00	21	go on with the "however" statement, "however
16:52:02	22	common sense should always prevail, " reminds the
16:52:05	23	air carriers to be watchful and to make
16:52:09	24	deliberate decisions in terms of items they
16:52:11	25	encounter beyond what they encounter listed here

	1	Page 317
		ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
16:52:16	2	in Appendix I.
16:52:18	3	Q. Was it FAA's intent in approving
16:52:20	4	this guideline to suggest to the airlines that
16:52:25	5	they had to exercise common sense in
16:52:29	6	implementing the screening procedures?
16:52:32	7	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
16:52:32	8	form.
16:52:33	9	MR. PODESTA: Objection, form.
16:52:35	10	A. It was to remind them that in fact
16:52:39	11	this was not an all inclusive document, yes,
16:52:42	12	some level of common sense needed to prevail
16:52:44	13	when encountering a specific situation.
16:52:47	14	Q. Would it be fair that the FAA did
16:52:50	15	not intend the guidelines to be all inclusive
16:52:54	16	but intended that the airlines would carry out
16:52:57	17	the guidelines exercising common sense in the
16:53:00	18	circumstances that they would encounter on a
16:53:04	19	daily basis?
16:53:04	20	A. Yes, sir.
16:53:07	21	Q. And in specific the airlines had to
16:53:09	22	make a reasonable determination of what property
16:53:12	23	in the possession of a person should be
16:53:15	24	considered a deadly or dangerous weapon in the
16:53:17	25	context of the situation on a day-to-day basis;

	1	Page 318 ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
16:53:22	2	correct?
16:53:22	3	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
16:53:23	4	form.
16:53:23	5	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
16:53:23	6	form.
16:53:25	7	A. Yes, sir.
16:53:26	8	Q. And in the context of the situation
16:53:28	9	that the airline screeners encountered every day
16:53:32	10	they had to exercise common sense in determining
16:53:35	11	whether an item could be considered a deadly or
16:53:38	12	dangerous weapon; right?
16:53:41	13	A. That's correct, sir.
16:53:50	14	Q. Back to the knives portion of
16:53:58	15	Appendix I. Is it a fair statement, Mr.
16:54:02	16	Cammaroto, that as the FAA approved the
16:54:07	17	guideline proposed by the airlines, the
16:54:12	18	guideline on knives actually prohibits certain
16:54:19	19	types of knives; correct?
16:54:21	20	MR. PODESTA: Objection to form.
16:54:23	21	A. Yes, sir.
16:54:25	22	Q. The guideline that the airlines
16:54:27	23	submitted doesn't expressly permit or allow
16:54:33	24	knives less than four inches; does it?
16:54:35	25	MS. VARGAS: Objection. Are you

		Page 319
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
16:54:36	2	asking him about the ACSSP or the ATA proposal?
16:54:40	3	MR. WARDEN: Well, for the moment
16:54:42	4	I am asking him about the ACSSP as approved by
16:54:44	5	the FAA.
16:54:47	6	MR. PODESTA: Are we talking about
16:54:49	7	Appendix I?
16:54:50	8	MR. WARDEN: Appendix I.
16:54:51	9	A. Could I ask to have the question
16:54:53	10	again, sir.
16:54:53	11	Q. Sure. As the FAA approved the
16:54:57	12	guideline on knives in the ACSSP, it prohibits
16:55:06	13	knives as specified in the guideline; correct?
16:55:12	14	A. That's the effect of it, yes, sir.
16:55:13	15	Q. It does not expressly permit or
16:55:18	16	allow any particular type of knife; does it?
16:55:20	17	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
16:55:21	18	form.
16:55:21	19	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
16:55:22	20	form.
16:55:22	21	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
16:55:22	22	form.
16:55:22	23	A. It does not, sir.
16:55:23	24	Q. Instead is this an example where
16:55:25	25	the FAA left it to the airlines to exercise

		Page 320
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
16:55:31	2	discretion and common sense in the context of
16:55:36	3	the security procedures they had to implement to
16:55:39	4	determine what would be considered a deadly and
16:55:43	5	dangerous weapon?
16:55:45	6	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
16:55:45	7	form.
16:55:45	8	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
16:55:46	9	form.
16:55:46	10	A. Yes, sir, this is where we had to
16:55:49	11	rely on common sense at the checkpoint.
16:55:51	12	Q. So under the FAA's guidelines in
16:55:58	13	Appendix I, the airlines were expected to
16:56:01	14	exercise common sense and discretion in the
16:56:05	15	context of the daily circumstances they
16:56:08	16	encountered?
16:56:10	17	A. Yes, sir.
16:56:14	18	Q. And in specific they were expected
16:56:16	19	to do that in order to make a reasonable
16:56:18	20	determination of what would be considered a
16:56:20	21	deadly or dangerous weapon?
16:56:22	22	A. Yes, sir.
16:56:23	23	Q. At this point I would like to ask
16:56:42	24	you a couple of questions about SSI Exhibit 18
16:56:45	25	which Mr. Podesta gave to you, Mr. Cammaroto.

		Page 330
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:14:23	2	Appendix I, that is that the best guideline of
17:14:26	3	all is common sense and caution?
17:14:31	4	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:14:31	5	form.
17:14:31	6	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:14:32	7	form.
17:14:32	8	MS. VARGAS: The document speaks
17:14:33	9	for themselves.
17:14:35	10	A. Yes, sir, that language is very
17:14:37	11	similar.
17:14:37	12	Q. And is it a fair statement that the
17:14:39	13	FAA expected the airlines to carry out the steps
17:14:46	14	in the physical inspection guidelines taking
17:14:49	15	into account common sense and caution?
17:14:54	16	A. Yes, sir.
17:14:59	17	Q. Then in part A of physical
17:15:01	18	inspection guidelines the ACSSP guideline says
17:15:07	19	"In the whole approach to the screening process,
17:15:09	20	good judgement should prevail." Did I read that
17:15:12	21	correctly?
17:15:12	22	A. You did, sir.
17:15:13	23	Q. Is it also a fair statement then
17:15:15	24	that the FAA expected the airlines to carry out
17:15:21	25	the physical inspection guidelines using good

	1	Page 331
		ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:15:25	2	judgement?
17:15:28	3	A. Yes, sir.
17:15:29	4	Q. And in fact the FAA expected the
17:15:33	5	airlines to use good judgement in the whole
17:15:36	6	approach to the screening process?
17:15:40	7	A. Yes, sir.
17:15:41	8	Q. That would include passengers
17:15:46	9	walking through the metal detector, the
17:15:48	10	walk-through metal detector; correct?
17:15:52	11	A. Correct, sir.
17:15:52	12	Q. It would include use of the x-ray
17:15:54	13	equipment for carry-ons?
17:15:56	14	A. Yes, sir.
17:15:57	15	Q. It would include the use of hand
17:15:59	16	wanding for persons being screened with hand
17:16:03	17	wands?
17:16:03	18	A. Yes, sir.
17:16:04	19	Q. In all of those respects the FAA
17:16:06	20	expected the airlines to exercise good judgement
17:16:12	21	under the circumstances; correct?
17:16:15	22	A. Yes, sir.
17:16:26	23	Q. Now, I would like you to turn the
17:16:29	24	ACSSP to page 29A, please.
17:16:51	25	A. I have it, sir.

		Page 333
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:18:09	2	involves scissors; correct?
17:18:13	3	A. Yes, sir, it does.
17:18:14	4	Q. The guideline suggests that in the
17:18:16	5	context of one person dressmaking scissors are
17:18:23	6	completely innocent, and in the context of
17:18:25	7	another person they could be a deadly or
17:18:27	8	dangerous weapon; correct?
17:18:29	9	A. That they might be reason to
17:18:31	10	restrict carriage, yes, sir.
17:18:33	11	Q. The reason that you might restrict
17:18:35	12	carriage is that in the context of scissors in
17:18:40	13	the hands of somebody who doesn't look like
17:18:45	14	somebody who should have a pair of dressmaking
17:18:47	15	scissors they could be considered reasonably
17:18:49	16	dangerous; couldn't they?
17:18:51	17	A. Yes, sir.
17:18:54	18	Q. So when the FAA expected the
17:18:57	19	airlines to use good judgement in the screening
17:18:59	20	process, the FAA expected the airlines to take
17:19:02	21	into account all the circumstances, the context
17:19:06	22	of what they were screening; didn't it?
17:19:09	23	A. Yes, sir.
17:19:12	24	Q. Now, with that in mind, take a
17:19:15	25	look, please, at page 29A of the ACSSP. And

		Page 334
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:19:27	2	this particular section is part 2H sorry,
17:19:32	3	part 2J. It is at the very bottom of page 29A.
17:19:39	4	A. I have it, sir.
17:19:40	5	Q. Okay. The title of the section is
17:19:44	6	Denied Boarding Or Access Into a Sterile Area;
17:19:50	7	correct?
17:19:50	8	A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
17:19:53	9	Q. Would it be a fair statement, Mr.
17:19:55	10	Cammaroto, that in implementing the guidelines,
17:19:59	11	if a screener thought that in the context
17:20:04	12	someone with dressmaking scissors who ought not
17:20:08	13	have them and concluded that that person might
17:20:12	14	be a threat, the airline had the authority to
17:20:17	15	deny boarding to that passenger?
17:20:21	16	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:20:22	17	form.
17:20:22	18	MS. VARGAS: Object to form as
17:20:23	19	well.
17:20:23	20	A. Yes, sir.
17:20:25	21	Q. In fact the ACSSP in part 2J says
17:20:29	22	"the final decision to board or refuse boarding
17:20:32	23	rests with the air carrier." Correct?
17:20:34	24	A. That is correct, sir.
17:20:36	25	Q. The air carrier had to make that

		Page 335
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:20:37	2	judgement in the context of the circumstances of
17:20:42	3	the person, the passenger and whatever
17:20:44	4	that passenger might be carrying; right?
17:20:47	5	A. That's correct, sir.
17:20:48	6	Q. That's what the FAA expected the
17:20:51	7	airlines to do in carrying out the guidelines?
17:20:54	8	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:20:56	9	form.
17:20:56	10	MR. PODESTA: I will object to the
17:20:57	11	form as well.
17:20:58	12	A. Yes, sir. May I say that is what
17:21:06	13	in fact is required.
17:21:07	14	Q. That is what the FAA required the
17:21:09	15	airlines to do?
17:21:09	16	A. Yes, sir.
17:21:10	17	Q. So a failure of the airlines to
17:21:12	18	take into context the circumstances of the
17:21:16	19	person and whatever the item was the person
17:21:19	20	might be carrying and make a judgement would be
17:21:23	21	a failure to comply with the requirements;
17:21:25	22	wouldn't it?
17:21:26	23	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:21:27	24	form.
17:21:27	25	MR. BARRY: Objection to the form.

		Page 336
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:21:28	2	MS. VARGAS: Object to the form
17:21:29	3	of the question as well. You can answer it.
17:21:30	4	A. Yes, sir.
17:21:33	5	Q. If the airlines failed to exercise
17:21:37	6	common sense in screening passengers or in
17:21:42	7	screening items that might be weapons, that
17:21:45	8	would be a failure to comply with the FAA
17:21:48	9	requirements; wouldn't it?
17:21:50	10	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:21:50	11	form.
17:21:50	12	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:21:51	13	form.
17:21:51	14	A. Presumably, yes, sir, it could be.
17:21:55	15	Q. If the airlines failed to exercise
17:21:57	16	good judgement in carrying out the screening
17:22:01	17	process, that would be a failure to comply with
17:22:04	18	the FAA requirements; wouldn't it?
17:22:06	19	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:22:08	20	form.
17:22:08	21	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:22:09	22	form.
17:22:09	23	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:22:09	24	form.
17:22:09	25	A. Yes, sir. Again, it could be. A

		Page 338
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:23:41	2	on, Mr. Warden?
17:23:43	3	MR. WARDEN: It is page 140 of the
17:23:44	4	ACSSP. Exhibit 2D. It is part Roman numeral
17:23:52	5	XIV.
17:23:53	6	MR. PODESTA: Thank you.
17:23:54	7	Q. It is entitled Implementing
17:23:55	8	Procedures. Correct, Mr. Cammaroto?
17:23:57	9	A. That's correct. Yes, sir.
17:23:58	10	Q. Is it a fair statement, Mr.
17:24:04	11	Cammaroto, the ACSSP contemplated that the
17:24:10	12	airlines themselves would develop and adopt
17:24:15	13	implementing procedures to carryout the
17:24:20	14	requirements of the ACSSP and the guidance?
17:24:23	15	A. Yes, sir.
17:24:27	16	MS. VARGAS: I am just going to
17:24:28	17	note that I don't believe that this was one of
17:24:30	18	the sections that was specifically authorized in
17:24:33	19	our authorized topics. But I will give you a
17:24:35	20	little leeway to establish a connection to an
17:24:38	21	authorized topic.
17:24:39	22	MR. PODESTA: I will object it is
17:24:40	23	not clear what implementing procedures are being
17:24:43	24	talked about.
17:24:44	25	MR. WARDEN: Well, we'll find out.

		Page 339
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:24:45	2	MR. PODESTA: Good.
17:24:46	3	Q. Mr. Cammaroto, is it a fair
17:24:47	4	statement that the security procedures at the
17:24:51	5	checkpoints in place before September 11, 2001
17:24:56	6	under the FAA's regulations were expected to
17:25:01	7	include procedures developed by the airlines to
17:25:06	8	carry out the ACSSP and the guidelines?
17:25:10	9	A. Yes, sir.
17:25:14	10	Q. So the implementing procedures the
17:25:16	11	airlines themselves were expected to develop
17:25:18	12	were part of the security program?
17:25:19	13	MS. VARGAS: Objection,
17:25:20	14	foundation.
17:25:21	15	A. They are referenced in the program,
17:25:23	16	they are not part of the program.
17:25:25	17	Q. They were expected to be part of
17:25:27	18	the security procedures the airlines were
17:25:28	19	taking?
17:25:28	20	MR. PODESTA: Objection, form.
17:25:30	21	MS. VARGAS: Objection,
17:25:31	22	foundation.
17:25:31	23	A. Yes.
17:25:32	24	Q. Would it be a fair statement that
17:25:33	25	the COG, the Checkpoint Operations Guideline

		-
		Page 340
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:25:38	2	developed by the airlines would be considered an
17:25:40	3	implementing procedure?
17:25:43	4	A. Yes, sir.
17:25:43	5	Q. You called it a how-to document,
17:25:45	6	under the ACSSP, it is an implementing
17:25:48	7	procedure; correct?
17:25:49	8	MS. VARGAS: Objection,
17:25:50	9	foundation, form.
17:25:51	10	A. Yes, sir.
17:26:02	11	Q. I think you were very careful to
17:26:04	12	express that the FAA reviewed the implementing
17:26:11	13	procedure prepared by the airlines and I think
17:26:19	14	Section XIV titled Implementing Procedures
17:26:21	15	required the airlines to submit them to the FAA;
17:26:23	16	doesn't it?
17:26:24	17	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:26:25	18	form.
17:26:25	19	MS. VARGAS: Object, compound.
17:26:39	20	Q. Yes. Doesn't Roman numeral XIV
17:26:41	21	Implementing Procedures require that the
17:26:45	22	airlines routinely provide to the FAA copies of
17:26:49	23	all implementing procedures issued?
17:26:54	24	A. Yes, sir.
17:26:54	25	Q. So the airlines would have

		Page 341
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:26:56	2	developed the COG and submitted it to the FAA in
17:26:59	3	accordance with the implementing procedures
17:27:03	4	requirement in the ACSSP?
17:27:05	5	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:27:05	6	form.
17:27:05	7	MS. VARGAS: Objection,
17:27:06	8	foundation.
17:27:06	9	A. Presumably, yes, sir.
17:27:10	10	Q. When the FAA reviewed it, in
17:27:14	11	accordance with that procedure, the FAA reviewed
17:27:17	12	it to make sure that the procedures developed by
17:27:21	13	the airlines in the COG were not inconsistent
17:27:26	14	with the ACSSP?
17:27:26	15	A. That's correct.
17:27:30	16	Q. But they were procedures developed
17:27:32	17	by the airlines independently of the FAA;
17:27:35	18	correct?
17:27:35	19	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:27:36	20	form.
17:27:36	21	A. Yes, sir, they were.
17:27:41	22	Q. The airlines had the authority, the
17:27:46	23	right, to develop implementing procedures as
17:27:50	24	long as they submitted them to the FAA and as
17:27:52	25	long as they weren't inconsistent with the

		Page 342
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:27:54	2	ACSSP; correct?
17:27:56	3	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:27:56	4	form.
17:27:56	5	A. Yes, sir.
17:28:12	6	Q. So I would like you to look at the
17:28:14	7	COG a little bit, please. I think we may need
17:28:20	8	to give you a copy.
17:28:44	9	A. I have it, sir.
17:28:45	10	Q. I learned, Mr. Cammaroto, there are
17:28:46	11	different paginations in the COG. I want to
17:28:49	12	first of all make sure we are looking at the
17:28:51	13	same thing.
17:28:51	14	Can you tell me what you have in
17:28:55	15	front of you, if it is marked as an exhibit
17:28:57	16	please?
17:28:57	17	A. Deposition Exhibit 1 Charlie of
17:29:01	18	2/7/07.
17:29:04	19	Q. Mine is Exhibit 1A, but we will
17:29:06	20	see if we can make it work. Mr. Podesta asked
17:29:35	21	you about some parts of the COG earlier. I
17:29:42	22	would like to return to section 5-8, 5-9.
17:29:52	23	A. I am page 5-8, sir.
17:29:54	24	Q. Is it correct that section or pages
17:29:56	25	5-8 through 5-10 are lists of hand carried items

		Page 343
:	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:30:04	2	that the airlines themselves decided to prohibit
17:30:09	3	from the sterile area?
17:30:10	4	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:30:11	5	form.
17:30:12	6	A. It reads "items not allowed to
17:30:14	7	enter the sterile area, " yes, sir.
17:30:16	8	Q. The implementing procedures
17:30:18	9	developed by the airlines called the COG
17:30:19	10	prohibited box cutters; correct?
17:30:23	11	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:30:23	12	form.
17:30:23	13	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:30:24	14	form.
17:30:24	15	A. Box cutters are listed as an item
17:30:27	16	on page 5-8, yes, sir.
17:30:29	17	Q. An item not allowed to enter the
17:30:32	18	sterile area?
17:30:33	19	A. That's correct.
17:30:34	20	Q. Bombs of course not allowed to
17:30:38	21	enter the sterile area?
17:30:39	22	A. Sorry?
17:30:40	23	Q. Bombs.
17:30:40	24	A. Bombs are not allowed in the
17:30:42	25	sterile area, yes, sir.

		Page 344
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:30:42	2	Q. Mace, under the airlines'
17:30:45	3	implementing procedure not allowed to enter the
17:30:49	4	sterile area?
17:30:50	5	A. That's correct. Mace is listed.
17:30:51	6	Q. And pepper spray also listed to not
17:30:54	7	be allowed in the sterile area?
17:30:55	8	A. Pepper spray is listed.
17:30:58	9	Q. And knives that could be considered
17:31:00	10	menacing; correct?
17:31:06	11	A. It says "knives with blades over
17:31:09	12	four inches or menacing, " yes, sir.
17:31:10	13	Q. The airlines implementing procedure
17:31:13	14	called the COG prohibited both knives over four
17:31:17	15	inches and knives that could be considered
17:31:19	16	menacing even if they were shorter than four
17:31:21	17	inches; correct?
17:31:22	18	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:31:22	19	form.
17:31:24	20	MR. PODESTA: Object to the form
17:31:25	21	as well.
17:31:25	22	A. That would be my interpretation of
17:31:27	23	it, sir, but, again
17:31:29	24	Q. Would it be a fair statement the
17:31:31	25	FAA left it to the airlines to make the decision

	-	Page 345
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:31:33	2	to prohibit knives shorter than four inches if
17:31:37	3	they were deemed menacing?
17:31:39	4	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:31:39	5	form.
17:31:39	6	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:31:40	7	form. Hold on one second. Can you repeat the
17:31:53	8	question.
17:31:54	9	(The pending question was read as
17:31:54	10	follows:
17:31:29	11	"Question: Would it be a fair
17:31:30	12	statement the FAA left it to the airlines to
17:31:32	13	make the decision to prohibit knives shorter
17:31:36	14	than four inches if they were deemed menacing?")
17:32:06	15	MS. VARGAS: I am going to object
17:32:07	16	to the testimony object to the question to
17:32:11	17	the extent you're entering into questions of
17:32:13	18	minimum standards. You can ask him about his
17:32:15	19	role in reviewing the COG or FAA's role in
17:32:18	20	reviewing the COG and review of the provision.
17:32:24	21	Q. I think maybe let's have the
17:32:27	22	question read back, first of all. Then if I
17:32:29	23	need to I will clarify it.
17:32:32	24	MS. VARGAS: It can just be a
17:32:33	25	clarifying it only needs to be clarified.

*		
		Page 346
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:32:50	2	(The pending question was read as
17:32:50	3	follows:
17:31:29	4	"Question: Would it be a fair
17:31:30	5	statement the FAA left it to the airlines to
17:31:32	6	make the decision to prohibit knives shorter
17:31:36	7	than four inches if they were deemed menacing?")
17:32:51	8	MR. PODESTA: Repeat my objection.
17:32:54	9	A. Yes, sir.
17:32:57	10	MS. VARGAS: There is an
17:32:58	11	instruction not to answer that question, I
17:33:00	12	apologize. We need to rephrase the question.
17:33:05	13	MR. CAMPBELL: Move to strike.
17:33:06	14	MR. PODESTA: Move to strike and
17:33:08	15	object on the ground the question is outside the
17:33:10	16	scope.
17:33:11	17	MR. PEPE: The question is
17:33:14	18	basically asked and answered, so we should move
17:33:16	19	on.
17:33:16	20	Q. I take it the FAA did not consider
17:33:19	21	it inconsistent with the ACSSP for the airlines
17:33:23	22	to make the decision to prohibit knives that
17:33:30	23	would be considered menacing even if the blades
17:33:33	24	were shorter than four inches?
17:33:34	25	MS. VARGAS: You can answer that
}		

		Page 347
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:33:35	2	question.
17:33:35	3	A. That was not inconsistent, no, sir.
17:33:40	4	Q. In fact your position is that the
17:33:46	5	FAA left it up to the airlines to decide whether
17:33:50	6	to allow blades shorter than four inches in
17:33:53	7	general; correct?
17:33:54	8	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
17:33:55	9	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:33:55	10	form.
17:33:56	11	MS. VARGAS: Pause. I am going
17:34:03	12	to object to that question and instruct you not
17:34:05	13	to answer as it is getting into minimum
17:34:09	14	standards topics which are still pending
17:34:10	15	consideration by the FAA. Mr. Cammaroto can
17:34:13	16	testify as to the FAA's role in reviewing the
17:34:16	17	COG and what their role was with respect to
17:34:18	18	these specific provisions.
17:34:24	19	MR. WARDEN: Is that an
17:34:25	20	instruction?
17:34:25	21	MS. VARGAS: I did instruct him
17:34:26	22	not to answer, yes, I did.
17:34:27	23	Q. Does Mr. Cammaroto still have his
17:34:29	24	testimony from the Moussaoui trial in front of
17:34:31	25	him?

		Page 351
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:38:12	2	"Question: Excuse me, that was up
17:38:13	3	to the airlines as to whether or not they
17:38:15	4	allowed that on the sterile concourse and on to
17:38:19	5	the plane?" And your answer was "That's
17:38:22	6	correct."
17:38:23	7	Did I read that right?
17:38:25	8	A. Yes, sir.
17:38:25	9	Q. What I would like to know is when
17:38:27	10	you gave that testimony were you telling the
17:38:30	11	lawyer who asked you the questions that it was
17:38:32	12	up to the airlines whether or not to allow sharp
17:38:36	13	blades on to the airplane or in the sterile
17:38:39	14	area?
17:38:39	15	A. Yes, this line of questioning was
17:38:41	16	specifically, as I was responding to it, related
17:38:46	17	to tools of the trade. So if you were an
17:38:50	18	electrician, a computer technician and you had
17:38:53	19	items that you would use to strip wires and to
17:38:56	20	splice wires and they have sharp edges would the
17:39:00	21	air carrier be allowed to allow them into the
17:39:02	22	sterile area, my answer is yes.
17:39:03	23	MS. VARGAS: Again, I don't want
17:39:04	24	to sound like a broken record, any time we are
17:39:06	25	talking about authenticating Mr. Cammaroto's

		Page 352
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:39:09	2	Moussaoui testimony, that is official capacity
17:39:11	3	testimony he gave on behalf of the government,
17:39:13	4	but it was not and is not intended to be
17:39:16	5	30(b)(6) testimony. Just to keep the record
17:39:18	6	clear. I will just always make that caveat.
17:39:24	7	Q. Is it a fair statement, Mr.
17:39:26	8	Cammaroto, that your testimony that it was up to
17:39:29	9	the airlines as to whether or not to allow
17:39:31	10	something with a sharp edge on it into the
17:39:34	11	sterile area, that you gave at the Moussaoui
17:39:36	12	trial, that is consistent with the FAA's
17:39:39	13	position; isn't it?
17:39:41	14	MS. VARGAS: Objection.
17:39:43	15	MR. BARRY: Objection to the form.
17:39:44	16	MS. VARGAS: I am not going to
17:39:45	17	permit him to answer that question. I am
17:39:47	18	instructing you not to answer.
17:39:49	19	A. Okay, I have been so instructed,
17:39:49	20	sir.
17:39:51	21	MS. VARGAS: He can authenticate
17:39:52	22	his statements I will allow him to authenticate
17:39:57	23	as I allowed Mr. Podesta to authenticate.
17:40:00	24	Q. It is the FAA's position prior to
17:40:02	25	September 11, 2001, that it was up to the

"		
		Page 353
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:40:06	2	airlines to exercise good judgement and common
17:40:09	3	sense and to determine whether an item that
17:40:16	4	might have a sharp edge should be allowed into
17:40:18	5	the sterile area?
17:40:20	6	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:40:21	7	form.
17:40:21	8	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:40:21	9	form.
17:40:21	10	Q. Even if it was shorter than four
17:40:23	11	inches?
17:40:24	12	MS. VARGAS: You can answer.
17:40:25	13	A. Yes, sir.
17:40:34	14	Q. In making that determination the
17:40:36	15	FAA expected the airlines to exercise good
17:40:39	16	judgment, common sense and caution?
17:40:41	1 7	MR. PODESTA: Objection.
17:40:42	18	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection.
17:40:43	19	MS. VARGAS: Asked and answered.
17:40:44	20	MR. PODESTA: We had this.
17:40:45	21	A. Yes, sir.
17:41:01	22	Q. I would like to ask you a couple
17:41:02	23	questions about the context in which the FAA
17:41:05	24	expected the airlines to make such decisions.
17:41:14	25	For example, the FAA provided

		Page 355
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:43:00	2	require specific countermeasures, the FAA would
17:43:05	3	place information in Information Circulars?
17:43:07	4	A. Yes, sir.
17:43:08	5	Q. And provide that information to the
17:43:11	6	airlines?
17:43:12	7 .	A. Yes, sir.
17:43:16	8	Q. Did the FAA expect the airlines to
17:43:18	9	make judgment about the screening process or in
17:43:21	10	the screening process, taking into account the
17:43:26	11	information in the Information Circulars?
17:43:28	12	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:43:29	13	form.
17:43:29	14	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:43:29	15	form. Are you referring to the exercise of good
17:43:31	16	judgment you were referring to before in the
17:43:33	17	Appendix?
17:43:34	18	MR. WARDEN: Yes.
17:43:35	19	MS. VARGAS: You can answer it to
17:43:36	20	the extent it relates to the Appendix
17:43:38	21	guidelines.
17:43:38	22	A. Yes, sir, that would provide a
17:43:40	23	context in which they could exercise good
17:43:43	24	judgment.
17:43:43	25	Q. The Information Circulars in fact

		Page 357
	1.	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:45:03	2	A. Yes, sir, you did.
17:45:07	3	Q. "This is most often due to a
17:45:09	4	security Information Circulars or Security
17:45:12	5	Directive issued to the airline by the FAA or
17:45:14	6	intelligence developed by the airline's security
17:45:18	7	department."
17:45:19	8	Did I read that sentence right from
17:45:20	9	section 6-1 of the COG?
17:45:22	10	MS. VARGAS: Objection, the
17:45:23	11	document speaks for itself.
17:45:24	12	A. Yes, sir, you did read it
17:45:25	13	correctly.
17:45:27	14	Q. Did the FAA consider it to be
17:45:31	15	consistent with the ACSSP that the airlines
17:45:34	16	would implement a procedure to intensify their
17:45:39	17	security efforts as the result of information in
17:45:43	18	an Information Circulars?
17:45:46	19	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
17:45:47	20	form.
17:45:47	21	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:45:49	22	form.
17:45:49	23	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:45:49	24	form.
17:45:49	25	A. It was not inconsistent again with

		
		Page 358
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:45:51	2	the requirements of the program, sir.
17:45:53	3	Q. It is an example of the airlines
17:45:56	4	taking into account the context in which they
17:45:59	5	were carrying out the screening operations;
17:46:03	6	correct?
17:46:03	7	A. I think it can be taken in that
17:46:05	8	sense, yes, sir.
17:46:05	9	Q. In fact, section 6-1 provides that
17:46:10	10	the airlines could intensify their security
17:46:15	11	procedures as a result of their own intelligence
17:46:17	12	generation; correct?
17:46:18	13	MS. VARGAS: Objection, are you
17:46:19	14	asking him to interpret the COG? The COG speaks
17:46:23	15	for itself, it is not an FAA document.
17:46:25	16	MR. WARDEN: That is a predicate
17:46:26	17	for another question.
17:46:27	18	MR. PODESTA: I will object as
17:46:28	19	beyond the scope of the final determination.
17:46:30	20	MS. VARGAS: With the
17:46:31	21	understanding that is leading somewhere, I will
17:46:33	22	permit it.
17:46:36	23	Q. Did I read that correctly?
17:46:37	24	A. If you would repeat the question,
17:46:38	25	sir.

		Page 359
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:46:38	2	Q. Sure. Am I reading it correctly
17:46:43	3	when I read the COG in section 6-1 to call for
17:46:48	4	airline Ground Security Coordinators to direct
17:46:51	5	the use of intensified security procedures as a
17:46:54	6	result of intelligence developed by the airline
17:46:58	7	security departments?
17:47:00	8	MR. GRAVES: Objection to the
17:47:01	9	form?
17:47:01	10	A. Yes, sir.
17:47:02	11	Q. Would it be not inconsistent with
17:47:05	12	the ACSSP for the airlines to intensify their
17:47:12	13	security procedures as a result of their own
17:47:13	14	intelligence generation?
17:47:14	15	A. It would not be it would not
17:47:18	16	okay, I lost it. It would not be inconsistent
17:47:22	17	with the SSP.
17:47:23	18	Q. I would very much like to ask that
17:47:25	19	question a different way, Mr. Cammaroto. And
17:47:27	20	I'd like to know if it would be consistent with
17:47:30	21	the ACSSP for the airlines to take into account
17:47:35	22	the intelligence that their own security
17:47:37	23	departments developed in carrying out the
17:47:40	24	screening process?
17:47:41	25	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
		_

		Page 360
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
17:47:42	2	form.
17:47:42	3	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
17:47:43	4	form, foundation.
17:47:44	5	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
17:47:44	6	form.
17:47:44	7	A. Sir, I am going to stick with the
17:47:46	8	not inconsistent.
17:47:48	9	Q. Okay. I tried.
17:48:20	10	I think Mr. Podesta asked you about
17:48:22	11	some of your testimony in the Moussaoui trial
17:48:26	12	where you indicated what the FAA could have done
17:48:31	13	if it had some specific intelligence in the way
17:48:35	14	of additional countermeasures. Do you remember
17:48:37	15	those questions?
17:48:38	16	A. I remember the general line of
17:48:40	17	questioning, yes, sir.
17:48:41	18	Q. Sorry, you remember what?
17:48:42	19	A. The general line of questioning, I
17:48:44	20	don't know
17:48:45	21	Q. I don't want to repeat all of
17:48:47	22	those. But I want to make sure you have the
17:48:49	23	line of questions in context of my next question
17:48:50	24	okay.
17:48:51	25	A. Yes, sir. Thank you.

		Page 374
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
18:02:55	2	A. It will just take a second. It
18:03:12	3	reads "Information indicates a terrorist group
18:03:14	4	or other hostile entity with known capability of
18:03:16	5	attacking civil aviation is likely to carry out
18:03:20	6	attacks against U.S. targets with civil
18:03:21	7	disturbances with direct impact on civil
18:03:22	8	aviation have begun or are imminent." So yes,
18:03:25	9	sir.
18:03:27	10	Q. So the answer to my question is,
18:03:28	11	yes, AVSEC Level III is there is a known
18:03:32	12	terrorist group with known capability that is
18:03:34	13	likely to attack civil aviation in the United
18:03:37	14	States?
18:03:37	15	MS. VARGAS: Objection, he read
18:03:38	16	the precise language of the AVSEC Alert Level
18:03:42	17	III. That is the language.
18:03:44	18	A. Yes, sir.
18:03:47	19	Q. When you answered Mr. Podesta's
18:03:49	20	question, AVSEC Level III was the environment,
18:03:54	21	you meant the environment was there was a known
18:03:57	22	terrorist group capable of attacking and likely
18:04:01	23	to do so. That was the environment pre 9/11,
18:04:05	24	2001; correct?
18:04:07	25	MR. PODESTA: Objection.

	1	Page 375
		ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
18:04:07	2	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
18:04:08	3	form.
18:04:08	4	A. Yes, sir.
18:04:09	5	Q. That was the context the airlines
18:04:11	6	had to take into account in exercising good
18:04:14	7	judgment in the whole screening process;
18:04:18	8	correct?
18:04:18	9	A. Yes, sir.
18:04:20	10	MR. WARDEN: Shall we stop for the
18:04:23	11	evening.
18:04:23	12	MS. VARGAS: That would be good.
18:04:28	13	MS. WINTER: I want to remind all
18:04:29	14	counsel they are to pass up all SSI documents
18:04:32	15	that were copies or marked today and none of
18:04:34	16	those SSI documents are to leave this room.
18:04:39	17	
18:04:41	18	
18:04:44	19	(Continued on following page.)
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

In The Matter Of:

IN RE SEPTEMBER 11 LITIGATION

ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO February 12, 2008

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/ CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL TC REPORTING in affliation with Merrill

25 West 45TH Street - Suite 900 New York, NY 10036 PH: 516-795-7444 / FAX: 212-692-9171

CAMMAROTO, ROBERT J. - Vol. 2

		Page 403
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
09:23:07	2	administrator. There were times where the title
09:23:09	3	shifted back and forth. When I say assistant
09:23:11	4	administrator, I am talking ACS 1.
09:23:16	5	Q. At any rate, he is the top person
09:23:18	6	in the division?
09:23:18	7	A. Yes, top security guy.
09:23:20	8	Q. If you look at the part of the
09:23:21	9	document that I highlighted.
09:23:25	10	A. I have it, sir.
09:23:26	11	Q. Okay. Does it read that "Airlines
09:23:30	12	have the flexibility to apply judgment and
09:23:32	13	discretion in determining whether a passenger
09:23:34	14	might be adverse to the safety and security of
09:23:37	15	the flight. Ideally the nature of the articles
09:23:41	16	and the circumstances in which they are carried
09:23:43	17	are carefully considered before a decision is
09:23:45	18	made to allow or restrict?"
09:23:49	19	MS. VARGAS: Objection, I believe
09:23:50	20	you misread the sentence.
09:23:52	21	Q. Then it refers to a knife; doesn't
09:23:54	22	it?
09:23:54	23	A. My copy says "The air carrier
09:23:59	24	security personnel are given flexibility to
09:24:01	25	apply judgment and discretion in determining

		Page 404
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
09:24:02	2	whether an article carried by a passenger might
09:24:04	3	be adverse to the safety and security of the
09:24:07	4	flight. Ideally, the nature of the articles and
09:24:08	5	the circumstances in which they are carried are
09:24:09	6	carefully considered before a decision is made
09:24:11	7	to allow or restrict transportation of an item,
09:24:13	8	such as a knife." Yes, sir.
09:24:18	9	Q. That was the statement sent out in
09:24:20	10	1996 for associate administrator Cathal Flynn by
09:24:24	11	the FAA?
09:24:25	12	A. Yes, sir.
09:24:54	13	Q. Now, Mr. Cammaroto, we put in front
09:24:56	14	of you a document marked Exhibit 777, do you
09:24:58	15	have that?
09:24:59	16	A. Not yet, sir.
09:25:14	17	Q. For the record number 777 has Bates
09:25:18	18	numbers TSA 11597.
09:25:19	19	A. I have been handed the document,
09:25:21	20	sir.
09:25:21	21	MS. VARGAS: Again, the record
09:25:23	22	reflect it extends through TSA 11608.
09:25:36	23	MR. GODFREY: Let the record
09:25:36	24	reflect it's very difficult the testimony off
09:25:36	25	the witness if we don't have copies of the

		Page 406
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
09:26:53	2	the House of Representatives of the U.S.
09:26:55	3	Congress; correct?
09:26:56	4	A. Yes, sir.
09:26:57	5	Q. And the letter is responding to an
09:27:00	6	inquiry from the Congressman about the FAA's
09:27:03	7	regulations concerning carrying pocket knives on
09:27:09	8	a airplane; correct?
09:27:20	9	A. It says we are responding to the
09:27:21	10	Congressman on behalf of Mr. Tuttle regarding
09:27:25	11	his request for a copy of regulations on
09:27:27	12	carrying pocket knifes on board a commercial
09:27:29	13	aircraft, yes, sir.
09:27:30	14	Q. If you look at the fourth paragraph
09:27:32	15	of the letter Mr. Canavan states or Mr. McDonald
09:27:38	16	states for Mr. Canavan, the associate
09:27:41	17	administrator of the Civil Aviation Security
09:27:44	18	division "It is important to note that while the
09:27:46	19	security programs issued by the FAA, established
09:27:49	20	the minimum standards that airlines and airports
09:27:51	21	must follow, the airlines and airports may
09:27:53	22	implement more stringent security requirements."
09:27:58	23	Did I read that correctly?
09:28:02	24	A. Yes, sir, you did.
09:28:03	25	Q. That was the statement of position

	·	Page 407
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
09:28:05	2	of associate administrator for Civil Aviation
09:28:10	3	Security on carrying a pocket knife on board a
09:28:12	4	commercial aircraft six months before the
09:28:16	5	September 11th occurrence; correct?
09:28:16	6	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
09:28:16	7	form, outside the scope.
09:28:18	8	A. That was certainly the
09:28:19	9	characterization provided to Congressman Hobson
09:28:22	10	at that time.
09:28:36	11	Q. Now I would like you to look at a
09:28:38	12	document I mentioned before your counsel
09:28:40	13	prepared for you yesterday, Exhibit 771.
09:28:41	14	A. I have it, sir.
09:28:53	15	Q. I take it, you can tell us in view
09:28:56	16	of what your counsel said yesterday they
09:28:58	17	prepared this for you yesterday, that is not,
09:29:00	18	this Exhibit 771 is not a document prepared and
09:29:05	19	maintained by the FAA in the ordinary course of
09:29:08	20	its business; correct?
09:29:08	21	A. That's correct.
09:29:09	22	Q. So we are not looking at a
09:29:11	23	statement of the FAA's position prepared in the
09:29:13	24	ordinary course of its business; are we?
09:29:15	25	A. We are not looking at FAA prepared
1		

	1	Page 416 ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
09:37:49	2	for?"
09:37:49	3	
		Q. Second paragraph, last sentence in
09:37:53	4	the second paragraph which I will read "While
09:37:55	5	airlines are required to meet certain standards,
09:37:57	6	they possess the final decision to impose more
09:37:59	7	stringent restrictions for carry-on items."
09:38:02	8	Did I read that correctly?
09:38:03	9	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection to the
09:38:04	10	form.
09:38:04	11	MR. PODESTA: Objection.
09:38:04	12	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
09:38:05	13	form.
09:38:05	14	MR. PODESTA: And outside scope.
09:38:06	15	MS. VARGAS: The document speaks
09:38:07	16	for itself. You can answer whether he read that
09:38:10	17	sentence correctly.
09:38:11	18	A. Yes, sir, you most certainly read
09:38:13	19	it correctly.
09:38:13	20	MR. CAMPBELL: Move to strike.
09:38:14	21	Q. You would agree that was a correct
09:38:16	22	statement of the FAA's position before September
09:38:20	23	11, 2001; wouldn't you?
09:38:21	24	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection.
09:38:21	25	MR. PODESTA: Objection.

		Page 445
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
10:09:46	2	Random House is supplemented in the context of
10:09:49	3	the checkpoint by the experience and knowledge
10:09:51	4	base that's developed and available at that
10:09:56	5	point, yes, sir.
10:09:58	6	Q. By the airlines?
10:10:00	7	A. Yes, sir.
10:10:00	8	Q. That common sense as you've refined
10:10:14	9	the definition is something that should always
10:10:15	10	prevail when trying to make determinations under
10:10:19	11	Appendix I as to whether or not a weapon is
10:10:27	12	considered deadly?
10:10:29	13	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
10:10:30	14	form.
10:10:30	15	A. Appendix I does read in "common
10:10:33	16	sense should always prevail, " yes, sir.
10:10:35	17	Q. All right. Then you talked
10:10:36	18	yesterday about Checkpoint Operations Guide. In
10:10:41	19	the Checkpoint Operation Guide, I believe you
10:10:43	20	referred to it or was referred in documentation
10:10:47	21	as being sort of a quick reference to be kept at
10:10:50	22	each of the checkpoints; correct?
10:10:52	23	A. That's correct, sir.
10:10:53	24	Q. And it is your understanding that
10:10:56	25	that Checkpoint Operations Guide was actually

		Page 498
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
11:39:17	2	A. Yes, sir.
11:39:19	3	Q. And specifically if you can turn to
11:39:20	4	page 1862.
11:39:29	5	A. I have it, sir, page 1862.
11:39:34	6	Q. If you turn to line 9, please.
11:39:37	7	A. Line 9, sir.
11:39:38	8	Q. This is a question by Mr. Novak, I
11:39:41	9	am going to read it, question and answer then
11:39:42	10	ask you a question. Okay.
11:39:45	11	The question begins "In addition to
11:39:46	12	the measures that you mandate in the
11:39:48	13	countermeasures that you mandate in the Security
11:39:53	14	Directive, could an airline also add their own
11:39:55	15	additional security if they felt that it was
11:39:58	16	appropriate?
11:39:59	17	Answer, line 13, "yes they could
11:40:03	18	absolutely. What we gave them was always the
11:40:06	19	minimum they had to comply with and they were
11:40:09	20	always to go beyond."
11:40:13	21	Was that your testimony in this
11:40:14	22	case?
11:40:17	23	MR. BARRY: Objection.
11:40:18	24	MS. VARGAS: In the Moussaoui
11:40:19	25	case?

		Page 499
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
11:40:20	2	Q. In the Moussaoui case March 22,
11:40:23	3	2006?
11:40:24	4	A. Yes, sir, it was.
11:40:24	5	Q. Was it true and accurate then?
11:40:26	6	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection.
11:40:27	7	A. Yes, sir.
11:40:27	8	Q. Is it true and accurate today?
11:40:29	9	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection.
11:40:30	10	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
11:40:31	11	form.
11:40:31	12	A. The FAA
11:40:38	13	MS. VARGAS: Mr. Cammaroto, pause.
11:40:44	14	You can authenticate the statement in its
11:40:47	15	accuracy, you cannot interpret the statement or
11:40:49	16	go beyond authenticating the statement you made
11:40:51	17	and its truthfulness and accuracy. So please
11:40:54	18	keep that in mind when you give your answer.
11:40:56	19	A. And the statement was true and
11:40:58	20	accurate when I made, yes, sir.
11:41:02	21	MR. CAMPBELL: Move to strike.
11:41:03	22	MS. VARGAS: Again, I would just
11:41:04	23	like to make the same caveat I was making
11:41:06	24	yesterday, I hate to sound like a broken record,
11:41:08	25	but the Moussaoui testimony was testimony that
		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

	·	Page 500
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
11:41:10	2	Mr. Cammaroto provided in his official capacity
11:41:14	3	as a witness for the government but was not the
11:41:16	4	30(b)(6) testimony of the FAA.
11:41:19	5	MR. MIGLIORI: That's fine.
11:41:19	6	Q. I am only asking you, sir, the
11:41:22	7	statement I just read from page 1862 of your
11:41:27	8	testimony in the Moussaoui case, that answer
11:41:28	9	that you provided was true and accurate when you
11:41:32	10	gave it; correct?
11:41:33	11	MR. CAMPBELL: Objection.
11:41:34	12	MR. PODESTA: Objection to the
11:41:34	13	form.
11:41:34	14	MS. VARGAS: Asked and answered.
11:41:36	15	Q. Well there were so many objections,
11:41:37	16	I would like to get a clean answer.
11:41:40	17	A. Yes, sir.
11:41:40	18	Q. Was the testimony that you provided
11:41:43	19	on page 1862 of the Moussaoui transcript true
11:41:47	20	and accurate when you gave it?
11:41:51	21	MR. CONNORS: Objection.
11:41:53	22	A. Yes, sir.
11:41:53	23	MR. CONNORS: Move to strike.
11:41:55	24	Q. Can you turn to page 1798.
11:42:11	25	A. Page 1798, sir.

	_	Page 633
	1	ROBERT J. CAMMAROTO - CONFIDENTIAL SSI MATERIAL
14:54:48	2	A. The air carriers as private
14:54:50	3	entities, not government operations certainly
14:54:52	4	had rights to determine who could or couldn't
14:54:56	5	fly on their aircraft. We merely said if you
14:54:58	6	were going to permit someone into the sterile
14:55:00	7	area and on to an aircraft, this is what you
14:55:02	8	must do to screen them into that area.
14:55:06	9	MR. PEPE: Move to strike.
14:55:08	10	Q. Pre 9/11 did the, under FAA policy,
14:55:15	11	were airlines permitted to refuse to board
14:55:19	12	passengers where the causal factor, the but-for
14:55:23	13	cause of the decision to refuse boarding was the
14:55:26	14	race, religion or national or ethnic origin of
14:55:30	15	the passenger?
14:55:30	16	MR. MIGLIORI: Objection to the
14:55:31	17	form and legal conclusion.
14:55:32	18	MS. VARGAS: Objection to the
14:55:33	19	form.
14:55:34	20	A. My understanding of the regulations
14:55:39	21	in place at that time would not have allowed the
14:55:41	22	air carriers to do that. That being to preclude
14:55:45	23	someone from flight because of race, religion,
14:55:48	24	etc.
14:55:48	25	Q. I would like to direct your